

REMARKS


Claims 4, 6-13, 17, and 19-20 remain in this application, while claims 1-3, 5, 14-16, and 18 are canceled. Reconsideration of the application is requested.

Each of claims 4, 6-10, 12, 17, 19, and 20 is rewritten above in the manner referred to by the Examiner in section 2 on page 2 of the Office Action. Claim 11 remains dependent on claim 9, while claim 13 remains dependent on claim 6. The claims discussed in section 1 on pages 2-3 of the Office Action are canceled, and the rejection based on U.S. Patent 3,587,120 to Greeno is moot as a result. It appears that the discussions of claims 1-6 in the last paragraph on page 3 and the first paragraph on page 4 of the Office Action are erroneous, since claims 1-3 and 5 are rejected based on the Greeno patent, and since no rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, is presented. All claims remaining in this application should now be allowable.

If there are any questions regarding this amendment or the application in general, a telephone call to the undersigned would be appreciated since this should expedite the prosecution of the application for all concerned.

Date: June 22, 2005

Respectfully submitted,



---

Richard R. Diefendorf  
Registration No. 32,390

CROWELL & MORING LLP  
Intellectual Property Group  
P.O. Box 14300  
Washington, DC 20044-4300  
Telephone No.: (202) 624-2500  
Facsimile No.: (202) 628-8844  
RRD:rd